## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

| Bobby Brockington and Robert Jolly,       | ) C.A. No. 4:08-2324-TLW-TER |
|---|------------------------------|
| Plaintiffs,                               | )                            |
| VS.                                       | ORDER                        |
|   | )                            |
| Wachovia Bank, N.A., as trustee for the   | )                            |
| registered holders Aegis Asset Backed     | )                            |
| Securities Trust Mortgage Pass-Through    | )                            |
| Certificates Series 2005-5, Ocwen Loan    | )                            |
| Servicing, LLC, a/k/a Ocwen Federal Bank; | )                            |
| Korn Law Firm; Seals Law Firm, P.A.,      | )                            |
| Premier Properties, and others as they    | )                            |
| become known,                             | )                            |
|   | )                            |
| Defendants.                               | )                            |
|   | )                            |

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that Plaintiffs' claims against defendant Seals Law Firm be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.<sup>1</sup>

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Report also notes that dismissal is appropriate pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, because plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted against defendant Seals Law Firm.

whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections

have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of

the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the

applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that

the Magistrate Judge's Report is ACCEPTED (Doc. #48), and Plaintiffs'claims against defendant

Seals Law Firm are **DISMISSED** pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

TERRY L. WOOTEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

July 20, 2009

Florence, South Carolina

2